

RAO BAHADUR RAJYASEVANIRATA DR. N. KUNJAN PILLAI:

(a) A *statement of the appointments made during 1112 is laid on the table.

(b) The labour and expense involved in preparing a table relating to approximately 2,500 persons would not be commensurate with the utility of its publication.

(c) This is vague as it does not specify the period to which it relates nor the departments or the appointing authorities to whom it relates.

MR. E. P. VARGHESE: With reference to the answer to part (a), may I know how many of those persons who have been recruited on the advice of the Public Service Commissioner are now in service?

RAO BAHADUR RAJYASEVANIRATA DR. N. KUNJAN PILLAI: I claim notice.

Employees in the Medical Department.

81. ***MR. T. C. KESAVA PILLAI** (*Kalkulam cum Vilavankod*): Will the Government be pleased to state the percentage of Nairs, Christians, Brahmins, Ezhavas and Mahomedans employed in the Medical Department who are now in active service?

MR. JAMES SIMPSON:

Brahmins	...	97 per cent.
Nairs	...	25.6 per cent.
Christians	...	45.3 per cent.
Ezhavas	...	7.8 per cent.
Mahomedans	...	0.4 per cent.

Levy of fees on applicants for Government Service.

82. ***MR. JOSEPH VITHAYATHIL** (*Kunnatnad cum Parur*): Will the Government be pleased to state whether fresh fees are levied by the Government from applicants for posts in any division of the Public Service whenever they renew their applications for places in that division?

RAO BAHADUR RAJYASEVANIRATA DR. N. KUNJAN PILLAI: In the Intermediate Division, a fee of one rupee for renewal of an application for the same appointments, as were previously applied for by an unsuccessful candidate, is payable.

Report of the Paper Pulp Expert.

83. ***MR. S. CHATTANATHA KARAYALAR** (*Pattanapuram cum Shencotta*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) whether Mr. Bhargava (Paper Pulp Expert) has completed his investigations regarding the possibilities of paper industry in Travancore;

(b) whether he has sent up a report to Government; and

(c) whether Government have taken any action in pursuance of his report?

*Vide Appendix VI Page 302.

MR. K. PARAMESVARA PANICKAR (*Secretary to Government*) :

(a) & (b) Yes.

(c) The report is under consideration.

Rentals for toddy and arrack shops.

84. ***MR. S. CHATTANATHA KARAYALAR** : Will the Government be pleased to state :

(a) the total rentals for the toddy shops and the arrack shops in the State during the last biennial contract period ;

(b) the amounts collected under the above items ; and

(c) the amounts written off under each head ?

MR. C. O. MADHAVAN (*Excise Commissioner*) : (a), (b) & (c). A *statement giving the particulars required is laid on the table.

MR. S. CHATTANATHA KARAYALAR : May I know why during the year 1110 the amount of remission is very much higher than in 1111 ?

MR. C. O. MADHAVAN : There was general remission during 1110. But in 1111, remission was granted only to a few shops in the Alleppey town which had lost heavily when there was an outbreak of plague and business was at a stand still.

Arrears of work in the office of the Public Service Commissioner.

85. ***MR. K. R. NARAYANAN** : Will the Government be pleased to state :

(a) whether they have received any report to the effect that the work in the office of the Public Service Commissioner is in arrears owing to insufficiency of hands ; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon ?

RAO BAHADUR RAJYASEVANIRATA DR. N. KUNJAN PILLAI : (a) Yes.

(b) Additional staff was appointed during 1112 and for the special examination to be held in 1113.

Statute Revision Committee.

86. ***MR. S. NARAYANA PILLAI** (*Quilon cum Kottarakara*) : Will the Government be pleased to state :

(a) how long the Statute Revision Committee was on duty ;

(b) the expenses incurred on that body ; and

(c) the nature of the work done by the body ?

MR. KAYALAM PARAMESVARAN PILLAI (*Law Member to Government*) : (a) From the 27th Chingam 1111/12th September 1935 to the 21st Thulam 1112/6th November 1936.

(b) Rs. 10,832.

(c) The committee revised the Penal Code, gave general directions re the revision of the Criminal Procedure Code and recorded their opinion on certain references made to them by Government.

* Vide Appendix—VII. Page 307.